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## PART I. Item 1. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## THE BOSTON BEER COMPANY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except share data)

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { September 29, } \\ \hline 2012 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ \hline 2011 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (unaudited) |  |
| Assets |  |  |
| Current Assets: |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 62,836 | \$ 49,450 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$69 and \$66 as of September 29, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively | 34,130 | 23,233 |
| Inventories | 38,140 | 34,072 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 11,175 | 14,605 |
| Deferred income taxes | 4,229 | 4,363 |
| Total current assets | 150,510 | 125,723 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 178,493 | 143,586 |
| Other assets | 4,446 | 1,802 |
| Goodwill | 2,538 | 1,377 |
| Total assets | \$335,987 | \$272,488 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity |  |  |
| Current Liabilities: |  |  |
| Accounts payable | \$ 26,470 | \$ 18,806 |
| Current portion of note payable | 62 | - |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | 56,925 | 48,243 |
| Total current liabilities | 83,457 | 67,049 |
| Deferred income taxes | 17,330 | 17,349 |
| Note payable, less current portion | 566 | - |
| Other liabilities | 4,215 | 3,345 |
| Total liabilities | 105,568 | 87,743 |
| Commitments and Contingencies |  |  |
| Stockholders' Equity: |  |  |
| Class A Common Stock, $\$ .01$ par value; $22,700,000$ shares authorized; $8,705,795$ and $8,714,931$ shares issued and outstanding as of September 29, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively | 87 | 87 |
| Class B Common Stock, \$. 01 par value; 4,200,000 shares authorized; 4,107,355 shares issued and outstanding | 41 | 41 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 153,985 | 138,336 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax | (838) | (838) |
| Retained earnings | 77,144 | 47,119 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 230,419 | 184,745 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 335,987 | \$272,488 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.
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## THE BOSTON BEER COMPANY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME(in thousands, except per share data)
(unaudited)

|  | Thirteen weeks ended |  | Thirt-nine weeks ended |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { September 29, } \\ \quad 2012 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { September } 24, \\ \quad 2011 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { September 29, } \\ \quad 2012 \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { September 24, } \\ \quad 2011 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Revenue | \$ 180,413 | \$ 147,002 | \$ 463,033 | \$ 404,425 |
| Less excise taxes | 13,965 | 12,189 | 35,811 | 33,479 |
| Net revenue | 166,448 | 134,813 | 427,222 | 370,946 |
| Cost of goods sold | 73,206 | 58,782 | 191,788 | 166,468 |
| Gross profit | 93,242 | 76,031 | 235,434 | 204,478 |
| Operating expenses: |  |  |  |  |
| Advertising, promotional and selling expenses | 47,639 | 39,334 | 130,202 | 115,364 |
| General and administrative expenses | 12,293 | 10,284 | 36,636 | 31,689 |
| Settlement proceeds | - | - | - | $(20,500)$ |
| Total operating expenses | 59,932 | 49,618 | 166,838 | 126,553 |
| Operating income | 33,310 | 26,413 | 68,596 | 77,925 |
| Other income (expense), net: |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income (expense) | 24 | 32 | 23 | 35 |
| Other income (expense), net | 20 | 15 | (2) | 44 |
| Total other income (expense), net | 44 | 47 | 21 | 79 |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 33,354 | 26,460 | 68,617 | 78,004 |
| Provision for income taxes | 12,604 | 10,164 | 26,023 | 29,730 |
| Net income | \$ 20,750 | \$ 16,296 | \$ 42,594 | \$ 48,274 |
| Net income per common share - basic | \$ 1.60 | \$ 1.26 | \$ 3.30 | \$ 3.67 |
| Net income per common share - diluted | \$ 1.53 | \$ 1.19 | \$ 3.14 | \$ 3.48 |
| Weighted-average number of common shares - Class A basic | 8,715 | 8,825 | 8,683 | 9,036 |
| Weighted-average number of common shares - Class B basic | 4,107 | 4,107 | 4,107 | 4,107 |
| Weighted-average number of common shares - diluted | 13,452 | 13,650 | 13,436 | 13,868 |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax: |  |  |  |  |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 20,750 | \$ 16,296 | \$ 42,594 | \$ 48,274 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

## THE BOSTON BEER COMPANY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in thousands)
(unaudited)

|  | Thirty-nine weeks ended |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | September 29 , 2012 | September 24, |
| Cash flows provided by operating activities: |  |  |
| Net income | \$ 42,594 | \$ 48,274 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: |  |  |
| Depreciation and amortization | 14,888 | 13,328 |
| Impairment of long-lived assets | - | 22 |
| Loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment | 85 | 117 |
| Bad debt expense (recovery) | 3 | (60) |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 5,181 | 4,751 |
| Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation arrangements | $(7,278)$ | $(2,542)$ |
| Deferred income tax | 115 | 221 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisition: |  |  |
| Accounts receivable | $(10,900)$ | $(10,710)$ |
| Inventories | $(4,068)$ | $(4,156)$ |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 1,607 | $(3,395)$ |
| Accounts payable | 7,664 | 5,577 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | 15,250 | 7,378 |
| Other liabilities | (350) | (882) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 64,791 | 57,923 |
| Cash flows used in investing activities: |  |  |
| Purchases of property, plant and equipment | $(49,514)$ | $(12,290)$ |
| Cash paid for acquisition of brewery assets | $(1,625)$ | - |
| Increase in restricted cash | (628) | - |
| Proceeds from disposal of property, plant and equipment | 41 | - |
| Net cash used in investing activities | $(51,726)$ | $(12,290)$ |
| Cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities: |  |  |
| Repurchase of Class A Common Stock | $(12,569)$ | $(50,871)$ |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 4,370 | 1,310 |
| Proceeds from note payable | 628 | - |
| Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation arrangements | 7,278 | 2,542 |
| Net proceeds from sale of investment shares | 614 | 540 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 321 | $(46,479)$ |
| Change in cash and cash equivalents | 13,386 | (846) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 49,450 | 48,969 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 62,836 | \$ 48,123 |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: |  |  |
| Income taxes paid | \$ 9,173 | \$ 25,904 |
| Allocation of purchase consideration to brewery acquisition to the following assets: |  |  |
| Property, plant and equipment | 338 | - |
| Trade name | 401 | - |
| Goodwill | \$ 1,161 | \$ - |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

## THE BOSTON BEER COMPANY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## A. Organization and Basis of Presentation

The Boston Beer Company, Inc. and its subsidiaries (the "Company") are engaged in the business of selling alcohol beverages throughout the United States and in selected international markets, under the trade names, "The Boston Beer Company," "Twisted Tea Brewing Company," "Angry Orchard Cider Company," and "HardCore Cider Company." The Company’s Samuel Adams ${ }^{\circledR}$ beer and Sam Adams Light ${ }^{\circledR}$ are produced and sold under the trade name, "The Boston Beer Company." A\&S Brewing Collaborative LLC, d/b/a Alchemy \& Science ("A\&S"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, produces and sells beer under the trade names "The House of Shandy Beer Company" and "Angel City Brewing Company." The accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of September 29, 2012 and the consolidated statements of comprehensive income and consolidated statements of cash flows for the interim periods ended September 29, 2012 and September 24, 2011 have been prepared by the Company, without audit, in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required for complete financial statements by generally accepted accounting principles and should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

## Management's Opinion

In the opinion of the Company's management, the Company's unaudited consolidated balance sheet as of September 29, 2012 and the results of its consolidated operations and consolidated cash flows for the interim periods ended September 29, 2012 and September 24, 2011, reflect all adjustments (consisting only of normal and recurring adjustments) necessary to present fairly the results of the interim periods presented. The operating results for the interim periods presented are not necessarily indicative of the results expected for the full year.

## B. Inventories

Inventories consist of raw materials, work in process and finished goods. Raw materials, which principally consist of hops, other brewing materials and packaging, are stated at the lower of cost, determined on the first-in, first-out basis, or market. Inventories are generally classified as current assets. The Company's goal is to maintain a supply of approximately two years for essential hop varieties on-hand in order to limit the risk of an unexpected reduction in supply. As of September 29, 2012, the Company has classified approximately $\$ 1.4$ million of hops inventory in Other Long Term Assets that are above two years forecasted usage. The cost elements of work in process and finished goods inventory consist of raw materials, direct labor and manufacturing overhead. Inventories consist of the following:

|  | $\underset{2012}{\text { September } 29,}$ | December 31, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (in thousands) |  |
| Raw materials | \$ 19,588 | \$ 21,191 |
| Work in process | 8,177 | 6,670 |
| Finished goods | 10,375 | 6,211 |
|  | \$ 38,140 | \$ 34,072 |
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## C. Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price of the Company-owned breweries over the fair value of the net assets acquired upon the completion of the acquisitions. During the first quarter of 2012, the Company acquired substantially all of the assets of Southern California Brewing Company, Inc., d/b/a Angel City Brewing Company. A portion of the purchase price was allocated to goodwill. See Note M for details on this acquisition.

The following table summarizes the Company's changes to the carrying amount of goodwill for the thirty-nine weeks ended September 29, 2012 (in thousands):


## D. Net Income per Share

The Company calculates net income per share using the two-class method which requires the Company to allocate net income to its Class A Common Shares, Class B Common Shares and unvested share-based payment awards that participate in dividends with common stock, in the calculation of net income per share.

The Company's Class B Common Stock is not listed for trading. Each share of the Class B Common Stock is freely convertible into one share of Class A Common Stock, upon request of any Class B holder, and participates equally in dividends.

The Company's unvested share-based payment awards comprise of unvested shares (1) issued under the Company's investment share purchase program which permits employees who have been with the Company for at least two years to purchase shares of Class A Common Stock at a discount from market value, and (2) awarded as restricted stock awards at the discretion of the Company's Board of Directors. The investment shares and restricted stock awards generally vest over five years in equal number of shares. The unvested shares participate equally in dividends. See Note L for a discussion of the current year unvested stock awards and issuances.

Included in the computation of net income per diluted common share are dilutive outstanding stock options that are vested or expected to vest. At its discretion, the Board of Directors grants stock options to senior management and certain key employees. The terms of the employee stock options are determined by the Board of Directors at the time of grant. To-date, stock options granted to employees vest over various service periods and/or based on the attainment of certain performance criteria and generally expire after ten years. The Company also grants stock options to its non-employee directors upon election or re-election to the Board of Directors. The number of option shares granted to non-employee directors is calculated based on a defined formula and these stock options vest immediately upon grant and expire after ten years. See Note L for a discussion of the current year stock option grants.
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## Net Income per Common Share-Basic

The following table sets forth the computation of basic net income per share using the two-class method:

|  | Thirteen weeks ended |  |  |  | Thirty-nine weeks ended |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | September 29, 2012 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { September 24, } 2011 \end{aligned}$ |  | September 20,2012 |  | September 24, 2011 |  |
|  | (in thousands, except per share data) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income |  | 20,750 |  | \$ 16,296 |  | 42,594 | \$ | 48,274 |
| Allocation of net income for basic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class A Common Stock | \$ | 13,974 |  | \$ 11,121 |  | 28,648 | \$ | 33,189 |
| Class B Common Stock |  | 6,586 |  | 5,175 |  | 13,552 |  | 15,085 |
| Unvested participating shares |  | 190 |  | - |  | 394 |  | - |
|  | \$ | 20,750 |  | \$ 16,296 |  | 42,594 | \$ | 48,274 |
| Weighted average number of shares for basic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class A Common Stock |  | 8,715 |  | 8,825 |  | 8,683 |  | 9,036 |
| Class B Common Stock |  | 4,107 |  | 4,107 |  | 4,107 |  | 4,107 |
| Unvested participating shares |  | 118 |  | - |  | 119 |  | - |
|  |  | 12,940 |  | 12,932 |  | 12,909 |  | 13,143 |
| Net income per share for basic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class A Common Stock | \$ | 1.60 |  | \$ 1.26 |  | 3.30 | \$ | 3.67 |
| Class B Common Stock | \$ | 1.60 |  | \$ 1.26 |  | 3.30 | \$ | 3.67 |

Net Income per Common Share-Diluted
The Company calculates diluted net income per share for common stock using the more dilutive of (1) the treasury stock method, or (2) the two-class method, which assumes the participating securities are not exercised or converted.
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The following tables set forth the computation of diluted net income per share, assuming the conversion of all Class B Common Stock into Class A Common Stock and using the two-class method for unvested participating shares:

|  | Thirteen weeks ended September 29,2012 |  |  | Thirteen weeks ended September 24,2011 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Earnings to Common Shareholders | Common Shares | EPS | Earnings to Common Shareholders | Common Shares | EPS |
|  | (in thousands, except per share data) |  |  |  |  |  |
| As reported-basic | \$ 13,974 | 8,715 | \$ 1.60 | \$ 11,121 | 8,825 | \$1.26 |
| Add: effect of dilutive potential common shares |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Share-based awards | - | 630 |  | - | 718 |  |
| Class B Common Stock | 6,586 | 4,107 |  | 5,175 | 4,107 |  |
| Net effect of unvested participating shares | 9 | - |  | - | - |  |
| Net income per common share-diluted | \$20,569 | 13,452 | \$ 1.53 | \$16,296 | 13,650 | \$ 1.19 |


|  | Thirty-nine weeks ended September 29,2012 |  |  | Thirty-nine weeks ended September 24,2011 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Earnings to Common Shareholders | $\begin{gathered} \text { Common } \\ \text { Shares } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | EPS | Earnings to Common Shareholders | Common Shares | EPS |
|  | (in thousands, except per share data) |  |  |  |  |  |
| As reported-basic | \$ 28,648 | 8,683 | \$ 3.30 | \$ 33,189 | 9,036 | \$ 3.67 |
| Add: effect of dilutive potential common shares |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Share-based awards | - | 646 |  | - | 725 |  |
| Class B Common Stock | 13,552 | 4,107 |  | 15,085 | 4,107 |  |
| Net effect of unvested participating shares | 19 | - |  | - | - |  |
| Net income per common share-diluted | \$42,219 | 13,436 | \$ 3.14 | \$ 48,274 | 13,868 | \$ 3.48 |

During the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended September 29, 2012, weighted-average stock options to purchase approximately 217,600 and 260,859 shares, respectively, of Class A Common Stock were outstanding but not included in computing diluted income per common share because their effects were anti-dilutive. During the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended September 24, 2011, weighted-average stock options to purchase approximately 233,000 and 218,000 shares, respectively, of Class A Common Stock were outstanding but not included in computing diluted income per common share because their effects were anti-dilutive. Additionally, performance-based stock options to purchase 60,000 and 68,000 shares of Class A Common Stock were outstanding as of September 29, 2012 and September 24, 2011, respectively, but not included in computing diluted income per common share because the performance criteria of these stock options were not met as of the respective dates. Furthermore, performance-based stock options to purchase 4,550 shares of Class A Common Stock were not included in computing diluted income per common share because the performance criteria of these stock options were not met and the options were cancelled during the thirty-nine weeks ended September 29, 2012.
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The performance-based stock options to purchase 60,000 shares that were excluded from computing diluted net income per common share because the performance criteria were not met as of September 29, 2012 were granted in 2009 to two key employees. The vesting of these shares requires annual depletions, or sales by wholesalers to retailers, of certain of the Company's brands to attain various thresholds during the period from 2012 to 2018.

## E. Comprehensive Income or Loss

Comprehensive income or loss represents net income or loss, plus defined benefit plans liability adjustment, net of tax effect. The defined benefit plans liability adjustments for the interim periods ended September 29, 2012 and September 24, 2011 were not material.

## F. Commitments and Contingencies

## Purchase Commitments

The Company had outstanding non-cancelable purchase commitments related to advertising contracts of approximately $\$ 20.4$ million at September $29,2012$.
The Company has entered into contracts for the supply of a portion of its hops requirements. These purchase contracts extend through crop year 2015 and specify both the quantities and prices, mostly denominated in Euros, to which the Company is committed. Hops purchase commitments outstanding at September 29, 2012 totaled $\$ 29.9$ million, based on the exchange rates on that date.

Currently, the Company has entered into contracts for barley, wheat, and malt with two major suppliers. The contracts include crop year 2012 and cover the Company's barley and wheat requirements for the remainder of 2012 and a portion of 2013 . Barley, wheat and malt purchase commitments outstanding at September 29, 2012 totaled $\$ 15.0$ million.

The Company sources glass bottles pursuant to a Glass Bottle Supply Agreement with Anchor Glass Container Corporation ("Anchor") under which Anchor is the exclusive supplier of certain glass bottles for the Company's breweries in Cincinnati, Ohio (the "Cincinnati Brewery") and Breinigsville, Pennsylvania (the "Pennsylvania Brewery"). This agreement also establishes the terms on which Anchor may supply glass bottles to other breweries where the Company produces its products. Under the agreement with Anchor, the Company has minimum and maximum purchase commitments that are based on Companyprovided production estimates, which, under normal business conditions, are expected to be fulfilled. Minimum purchase commitments under this agreement, assuming Anchor is unable to replace lost production capacity cancelled by the Company, as of September 29, 2012 totaled $\$ 658,000$. On October 8 , 2012, the Company provided additional production estimates covering 2013 purchases for an additional minimum commitment of $\$ 50.6$ million.

Currently, the Company brews most all of its core brands volume at Company owned breweries. In the normal course of its business, the Company has historically entered into various production arrangements with other brewing companies. Pursuant to these arrangements, the Company purchases the liquid produced by those brewing companies, including the raw materials that are used in the liquid, at the time such liquid goes into fermentation. The Company is required to repurchase all unused raw materials purchased by the brewing company specifically for the Company's beers at the brewing company's cost upon termination of the production arrangement. The Company is also obligated to meet annual volume requirements in conjunction with certain production arrangements, which are not material to the Company's operations.

The Company had various other non-cancelable purchase commitments at September 29, 2012, which amounted to $\$ 20.1$ million.
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## Litigation

In 2009, the Company was informed that ownership of the High Falls brewery located in Rochester, New York (the "Rochester Brewery") changed and that the new owners would not assume the Company's existing contract for brewing services at the Rochester Brewery. Brewing of the Company's products at the Rochester Brewery subsequently ceased in April 2009. In February 2010, the Company filed a Demand for Arbitration, asserting a breach of contract claim against the previous owner of the Rochester Brewery. In January 2011, the arbitrator issued an award of approximately $\$ 1.3$ million in damages and expenses to be paid by High Falls Brewery Company, LLC to the Company, although the likelihood of collection of such award is in doubt. As such, no amount has been recorded in the financial statements for this matter. The Company does not believe that its inability to avail itself of production capacity at the Rochester Brewery will, in the near future, have a material impact on its ability to meet demand for its products.

The Company is not a party to any pending or threatened litigation, the outcome of which would be expected to have a material adverse effect upon its financial condition or the results of its operations. In general, while the Company believes it conducts its business appropriately in accordance with laws, regulations and industry guidelines, claims, whether or not meritorious, could be asserted against the Company that might adversely impact the Company's results.

## Environmental Matters

During the second quarter of 2010, the Company entered into an agreement with the City of Cincinnati (the "City") to complete a remediation in accordance with a remediation plan on environmentally contaminated land to be purchased by the City which is adjacent to Company-owned land at the Cincinnati Brewery (the "Property"). In the third quarter of 2010, the City was awarded a Clean Ohio Revitalization Fund grant ("CORF Grant") for the Property and will use these funds to complete the purchase of the Property and will provide funds to the Company to remediate the contaminated land and demolish certain other buildings on adjacent parcels. In connection with these agreements, the Company recorded a current liability and an equal and offsetting other asset of approximately $\$ 2.6$ million for the estimated total cleanup costs for which it is responsible under the remediation plan and the related CORF Grant, respectively. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company would not be reimbursed by the City for any remediation cost above the currently estimated cleanup cost of approximately $\$ 2.6$ million. As of September 29, 2012, the Company has a current liability of $\$ 1.1$ million and a receivable recorded in other assets of \$2.6 million that are related to this agreement.

During the second quarter of 2012, the Company entered into a second agreement with the City to complete a remediation in accordance with a remediation plan on environmentally contaminated land purchased by the Company which is also adjacent to Company-owned land at the Cincinnati Brewery (the "Second Property"). The City was awarded a Clean Ohio Revitalization Fund grant ("CORF II Grant") and will provide funds to the Company to offset a portion of the purchase price of the Second Property, clean-up the contaminated land and buildings and to then demolish the buildings located on the Second Property. The Company paid approximately $\$ 263,000$ to purchase the Second Property, which is included in property, plant and equipment, net, in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. In connection with these arrangements, the Company recorded a current liability and an equal and offsetting other asset of approximately $\$ 663,000$ for the estimated total acquisition and cleanup costs for which it is responsible under the remediation plan and the related CORF II Grant, respectively. Under the terms of the agreement with the City, the Company would not be reimbursed by the City for any remediation cost above the currently estimated acquisition and cleanup costs of approximately $\$ 663,000$.

The Company accrues for environmental remediation-related activities for which commitments or cleanup plans have been developed and for which costs can be reasonably estimated. All accrued amounts are generally determined in coordination with third-party experts on an undiscounted basis. In light of existing reserves, any additional remediation costs above the currently estimated cost of $\$ 1.8$ million will not, in the opinion of management, have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

## G. Income Taxes

As of September 29, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company had approximately $\$ 1.4$ million and $\$ 1.9$ million, respectively, of unrecognized income tax benefits. A decrease of $\$ 0.5$ million in unrecognized tax benefits was recorded for the thirty-nine weeks ended September 29, 2012.

The Company's practice is to classify interest and penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense. As of September 29, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company had $\$ 0.7$ million and $\$ 1.0$ million, respectively, accrued for interest and penalties.

In September 2011, the Internal Revenue Service (the "IRS") commenced an examination of the Company's 2007 and 2008 amended consolidated corporate income tax returns and the related loss carry back claim to 2006. In addition, in October 2011, the IRS expanded the original examination to include the 2009 corporate income tax return. The examination was in progress as of September 29, 2012. The Company is also being audited by two states as of September 29, 2012.
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The Company's state income tax returns remain subject to examination for three or four years depending on the state's statute of limitations. In addition, the Company is generally obligated to report changes in taxable income arising from federal income tax audits.

## H. Product Recall

In April 2008, the Company announced a voluntary product recall of certain glass bottles of its Samuel Adams ${ }^{\circledR}$ products. The recall was a precautionary step and resulted from routine quality control inspections at the Cincinnati Brewery, which detected glass inclusions in certain bottles of beer. The recall process was substantially completed during the fourth quarter of 2008.

The following table summarizes the Company's reserves and reserve activities for the product recall for the thirty-nine weeks ended September 29,2012 (in thousands):

|  | Reserves at December 31,$\qquad$ |  | Changes in Estimates |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reserves } \\ \text { Used } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Reserves at September 29, 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excise tax credit | \$ | (242) |  |  |  | 242 | \$ | - |
| Recall-related costs |  | 54 |  | 7 |  | (61) |  | - |
| Inventory reserves |  | 67 |  |  |  | (67) |  |  |
|  |  | (121) | \$ | 7 |  | 114 | \$ | - |

During the second quarter of 2011, the Company and its former glass bottle supplier entered into an agreement to settle all claims regarding the recall. The Company received a cash payment of $\$ 20.5$ million, which was recorded as an offset to operating expenses, and all parties have released each other of any claims as they relate to this matter. In addition, the Company reversed approximately $\$ 0.6$ million in reserves against invoices due to the supplier, which was recorded as an offset to cost of goods sold.

Although the Company is not aware of any additional quality or safety issues that are likely to result in material recalls or withdrawals, there can be no assurance that additional issues will not be identified in the future.

## I. Note Payable

In June 2012, the Company entered into a grant facility with the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for $\$ 770,000$. The purpose of the grant is to provide the Company funds to support economic development through the repaving of a parking lot and loading docks at its Pennsylvania Brewery. Under the terms of the grant, the Company was required to fund this project through a note arrangement, with the Commonwealth reimbursing the Company for its debt service over a 10-year period.

To fund the project, the Company entered into a term note arrangement with Bank of America N.A. in June 2012. The note is for approximately $\$ 628,000$ and has a maturity date of December 31, 2021. The interest rate for the note is fixed at an annual rate of $4.25 \%$. Payments of $\$ 77,000$ are due annually beginning on December 31, 2012, which amount will be reimbursed to the Company by the Commonwealth. The note is secured by interest in a CD held by the bank totaling approximately $\$ 628,000$ which is reduced each year based on principal payments on the note; this amount is accounted for as restricted cash and is included in Other Assets on the Company's Balance Sheet.

## J. Line of Credit

The Company has a credit facility in place that provides for a $\$ 50.0$ million revolving line of credit which expires on March 31,2015 . The credit agreement was amended in June 2012 to allow for the term note arrangement and pledge of cash described in Note I above in connection with the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania grant. As of September 29, 2012, the Company was not in violation of any of its covenants to the lender under the credit facility and there were no borrowings outstanding, so that the line of credit was fully available to the Company for borrowing.
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## K. Fair Value Measures

The Company defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or be paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The Company applies the following fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value into three levels and bases the categorization within the hierarchy upon the lowest level of input that is available and significant to the fair value measurement. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements).

- Level 1 - Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.
- Level 2 - Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. If the asset or liability has a specified (contractual) term, a Level 2 input must be observable for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.
- Level 3 - Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability in which there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability at the measurement date.

All financial assets or liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis (at least annually) have been segregated into the most appropriate level within the fair value hierarchy based on the inputs used to determine the fair value at the measurement date. The assets or liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized in the table below (in thousands):

|  | As of September 29, 2012 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash Equivalents | \$61,396 | \$ - | \$- | \$61,396 |
| Cash Surrender Value - Life Insurance | - | 1,044 | - | 1,044 |
| Total | \$61,396 | \$ 1,044 | \$- | \$ 62,440 |
|  | As of December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |
|  | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash Equivalents | \$ 41,907 | \$ - | \$- | \$ 41,907 |
| Cash Surrender Value - Life Insurance | - | 1,016 | - | 1,016 |
| Total | \$41,907 | \$1,016 | \$- | \$ 42,923 |

The Company's cash equivalents listed above represent money market mutual fund securities and are classified within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy because they are valued using quoted market prices. The Company does not adjust the quoted market price for such financial instruments.

The Company's cash surrender value - life insurance represents the cash value of a life insurance policy held by Northwestern Mutual, an A rated insurance company and is classified within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. Northwestern Mutual provides the value of this policy to the Company on a regular basis and the Company adjusts its book value accordingly.
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Financial instruments not recorded at fair value in the consolidated financial statements are summarized in the table below (in thousands):

|  | As of September 29, 2012 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| Bank Borrowings | \$- | \$628 | \$- | \$628 |

Cash, certificates of deposit, receivables and payables are carried at their cost, which approximates fair value, because of their short-term nature.

Assets recorded on a non-recurring basis are summarized in the table below (in thousands):

|  | As of September 29, 2012 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| Land | \$- | \$- | \$4,600 | \$4,600 |
|  | As of December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |
|  | Level 1 | $\underline{\text { Level } 2}$ | Level 3 | Total |
| Land | \$- | \$- | \$4,600 | \$4,600 |

The Company evaluates its long-lived assets for impairment when events indicate that an asset or asset group may have suffered impairment. In the past, the Company has recognized impairments of certain land included in property, plant and equipment. The Company has relied on the work of a licensed real estate appraiser to determine the fair value of the land. The appraiser used comparisons to historical transactions for similar properties and similar properties available for sale to assist in determining the land's value. The Company has not recorded an impairment charge on its long-lived asset in fiscal 2012. The last adjustment to record an asset impairment was in the fourth quarter of 2011.

The Company evaluates the recoverability of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets in the third quarter of each fiscal year, or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that goodwill or indefinite-lived intangible assets may be impaired. As of September 29, 2012, no such events or changes in circumstances occurred that would have triggered the need for a further impairment review.

## L. Stock-Based Compensation

On January 1, 2012, the Company granted options to purchase an aggregate of 18,600 shares of the Company's Class A Common Stock with a weighted average fair value of $\$ 47.55$ per share, of which an option for 7,500 shares was a special long-term retention stock option granted to a key employee. The special long-term retention stock option is service-based with $60 \%$ of the shares vesting on January 1, 2017 and the remaining shares vesting annually in equal tranches over the following four years.

On January 1, 2012, the Company granted 15,366 shares of restricted stock awards to certain senior managers and key employees and employees elected to purchase 13,360 investment shares. The weighted average fair value of the restricted stock awards and investment shares was $\$ 108.56$ and $\$ 49.35$ per share, respectively

On February 27, 2012, the Company granted an additional option to purchase 24,000 shares of the Company's Class A Common Stock with a weighted average fair value of $\$ 41.64$ per share. The option is a service-based stock option and vests annually at approximately $33 \%$ per year starting on the third anniversary of the grant date. Also on February 27, 2012, the Company granted an additional restricted stock award of 1,009 shares with a weighted average fair value of $\$ 97.56$ per share. The restricted stock award vests annually at approximately $33 \%$ per year from grant date.
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On May 23, 2012, the Company granted options to purchase an aggregate of 17,367 shares of the Company's Class A Common Stock to the Company's non-employee Directors. These options have a weighted average fair value of $\$ 47.04$ per share. All of the options vested immediately on the date of the grant.

Stock-based compensation expense related to share-based awards recognized in the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended September 29,2012 was $\$ 1.3$ million and $\$ 5.2$ million, respectively, and was calculated based on awards expected to vest. Stock-based compensation expense related to share-based awards recognized in the thirteen and thirty-nine weeks ended September 24, 2011 was $\$ 1.2$ million and $\$ 4.8$ million, respectively.

## M. Brewery Acquisition

On January 4, 2012, A\&S acquired substantially all of the assets of Southern California Brewing Company, Inc., d/b/a Angel City Brewing Company ("Angel City") for a preliminary aggregate purchase price of $\$ 1.9$ million, which includes a payment of $\$ 150,000$ made in June 2012 and a payment of $\$ 200,000$ to be made in March 2013. The remaining purchase price payment may be reduced by any obligations satisfied by A\&S subsequent to the acquisition, but incurred by Angel City prior to the acquisition date. Costs related to the acquisition of Angel City were not significant and were expensed as incurred.

The allocation of the purchase price is preliminary and is based on management's judgment after evaluating several factors, including preliminary valuation assessments of tangible and intangible assets. The final allocation of the purchase price will be completed upon finalization of the valuation, which is expected to occur in fiscal year 2012. The preliminary aggregate purchase price allocation is as follows (in thousands):

| Property, plant and equipment | $\$ 338$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Trade name | 401 |
| Goodwill | $\underline{1,161}$ |
| $\quad$ Total assets acquired | 1,900 |
| Less: |  |
| $\quad$ Remaining purchase price payments | $\underline{\$ 1,700}$ |
| Cash paid | $\underline{200}$ |

The Company has assigned an indefinite life to the acquired trade name and the related value is included in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Goodwill resulting from this acquisition is expected to be amortizable for tax purposes. The operating results of Angel City since the acquisition date are included in the Company's consolidated financial statements

In connection with the acquisition, $A \& S$ entered into a personal services agreement with Angel City's founder, pursuant to which he will advise A\&S, if requested, on Angel City matters for a period of two years. Also in connection with the acquisition, A\&S entered into a lease for the Angel City brewery premises located in Los Angeles, California, from which it intends to brew, distribute and sell beers under the Angel City brand name for on and off premise consumption. Minimum payments under the personal services agreement and the lease total approximately $\$ 1.8$ million as of September 29, 2012, are payable through December 31, 2017 and are expensed as incurred.

## N. Subsequent Events

On October 1, 2012, the Board of Directors approved an increase of $\$ 25.0$ million to the previously approved $\$ 275.0$ million share buyback expenditure limit, for a new limit of $\$ 300.0$ million.

The Company evaluated subsequent events occurring after the balance sheet date, September 29, 2012, and concluded that there were no events of which management was aware that occurred after the balance sheet date that would require any adjustment to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.
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## PART I. Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following is a discussion of the significant factors affecting the consolidated operating results, financial condition and liquidity and cash flows of The Boston Beer Company, Inc. (the "Company" or "Boston Beer") for the thirteen and thirty-nine week period ended September 29, 2012, as compared to the thirteen and thirty-nine week period ended September 24, 2011. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company and Notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Boston Beer’s flagship product is Samuel Adams Boston Lager ${ }^{\circledR}$. For purposes of this discussion, Boston Beer’s "core brands" or "core products" include all products sold under the Samuel Adams ${ }^{\circledR}$, Sam Adams ${ }^{\circledR}$, Twisted Tea ${ }^{\circledR}$, HardCore ${ }^{\circledR}$, Angry Orchard ${ }^{\circledR}$, House of Shandy ${ }^{\circledR}$, and Angel City Brewery ${ }^{\circledR}$ trademarks. "Core products" do not include the products brewed or packaged at the Company's brewery in Cincinnati, Ohio (the "Cincinnati Brewery") under a contract arrangement for a third party. Sales of such products are not significant to the Company's total sales in 2012 and 2011.

## Thirteen Weeks Ended September 29, 2012 compared to Thirteen Weeks Ended September 24, 2011

Net revenue. Net revenue increased by $\$ 31.6$ million, or $23.5 \%$, to $\$ 166.4$ million for the thirteen weeks ended September 29 , 2012, as compared to $\$ 134.8$ million for the thirteen weeks ended September 24, 2011, due primarily to increased shipments and pricing improvements.

Volume. Total shipment volume increased by $17.7 \%$ to 778,000 barrels for the thirteen weeks ended September 29, 2012, as compared to 661,000 barrels for the thirteen weeks ended September 24, 2011, due to gains in core shipment volume. Shipment volume for the core brands increased by $17.3 \%$ to 772,000 barrels, due primarily to increases in shipments of Samuel Adams Seasonals, Angry Orchard and Twisted Tea partially offset by declines in some other Samuel Adams styles.

Depletions, or sales by wholesalers to retailers, of the Company's core products for the thirteen weeks ended September 29, 2012 increased by approximately $15 \%$ compared to the comparable thirteen week period in the prior year, primarily due to increases in Angry Orchard, Samuel Adams Seasonals, Twisted Tea, and partially offset by declines in some other Samuel Adams styles. Year-to-date depletions for the thirty-nine weeks ended September 29,2012 are estimated by the Company to be up approximately $11 \%$ from the comparable thirty-nine week period in the prior year primarily due to increases in Angry Orchard, Samuel Adams Seasonals, and Twisted Tea, partially offset by declines in some other Samuel Adams styles. Inventory at wholesalers participating in the Freshest Beer Program was lower by an estimated 296,000 case equivalents, as of the end of the third quarter 2012 compared to the end of the third quarter of 2011. The Company believes wholesaler inventory levels at September 29, 2012 were at appropriate levels.

During the first quarter of 2012, the Company changed from reporting depletions on a calendar month, quarter and year basis to reporting current year depletions against the comparable weeks in the prior year. The Company believes this method better reflects the depletion trends of the business and eliminates complexities around reporting on a calendar basis and comparing the number of selling days in each calendar month. The Company anticipates reporting its full-year depletions on both a fiscal year and calendar year basis.

Net Revenue per barrel. The net revenue per barrel for core brands increased by $5.1 \%$ to $\$ 215.16$ per barrel for the thirteen weeks ended September 29 , 2012, as compared to $\$ 204.66$ per barrel for the comparable period in 2011 , due primarily to price increases and lower excise taxes per barrel compared to the prior quarter.

Gross profit. Gross profit for core products was $\$ 120.63$ per barrel for the thirteen weeks ended September 29, 2012, as compared to $\$ 115.46$ per barrel for the thirteen weeks ended September 24, 2011. Gross margin for core products was $56.1 \%$ for the thirteen weeks ended September 29, 2012, as compared to $56.4 \%$ for the thirteen weeks ended September 24, 2011. The increase in gross profit per barrel of $\$ 5.17$ is primarily due to an increase in net revenue per barrel, partially offset by an increase in cost of goods sold per barrel

Cost of goods sold for core brands was $\$ 94.52$ per barrel for the thirteen weeks ended September 29, 2012, as compared to $\$ 89.20$ per barrel for the thirteen weeks ended September 24, 2011. The 2012 increase in cost of goods sold of $\$ 5.32$ per barrel of core product is due to increases in barley, hops and other ingredients, partially offset by lower operating costs per barrel due to increased volume and efficiencies.
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The Company includes freight charges related to the movement of finished goods from its manufacturing locations to wholesaler locations in its advertising, promotional and selling expense line item. As such, the Company's gross margins may not be comparable to those of other entities that classify costs related to distribution differently.

Advertising, promotional and selling. Advertising, promotional and selling expenses increased by $\$ 8.3$ million, or $21.1 \%$, to $\$ 47.6$ million for the thirteen weeks ended September 29, 2012, as compared to $\$ 39.3$ million for the thirteen weeks ended September 24, 2011. Such expenses for core brands were $28.7 \%$ of net revenue, or $\$ 61.71$ per barrel, for the thirteen weeks ended September 29,2012 , as compared to $29.2 \%$ of net revenue, or $\$ 59.78$ per barrel, for the thirteen weeks ended September 24, 2011. The increase is primarily a result of increased investments in local marketing, advertising and point of sale, costs for additional sales personnel, and freight to wholesalers due to higher volumes.

The Company conducts certain advertising and promotional activities in its wholesalers' markets, and the wholesalers make contributions to the Company for such efforts. These amounts are included in the Company's statements of comprehensive income as reductions to advertising, promotional and selling expenses. Historically, contributions from wholesalers for advertising and promotional activities have amounted to between $2 \%$ and $4 \%$ of net sales. The Company may adjust its promotional efforts in the wholesalers' markets if changes occur in these promotional contribution arrangements, depending on industry and market conditions.

The Company invests in advertising and promotional campaigns that it believes will be effective, but there is no guarantee that such investment will generate sales growth.

General and administrative. General and administrative expenses increased by $\$ 2.0$ million, or $19.5 \%$, to $\$ 12.3$ million for the thirteen weeks ended September 29, 2012, as compared to $\$ 10.3$ million for the comparable period in 2011 . The increase was primarily due to increases in salary and benefit costs and Alchemy \& Science startup costs.

Provision for income taxes. The Company recorded a provision for income taxes of $\$ 12.6$ million for the thirteen weeks ended September 29, 2012, compared to $\$ 10.2$ million for the thirteen weeks ended September 24, 2011. The Company's effective tax rate for the third quarter of 2012 decreased to approximately $37.8 \%$ from the third quarter 2011 rate of approximately $38.4 \%$, primarily as a result of higher pretax income, but with no corresponding reductions in nondeductible expenses.

## Thirty-nine Weeks Ended September 29, 2012 compared to Thirty-nine Weeks Ended September 24, 2011

Net revenue. Net revenue increased by $\$ 56.3$ million, or $15.2 \%$, to $\$ 427.2$ million for the thirty-nine weeks ended September 29 , 2012 , from $\$ 370.9$ million for the thirty-nine weeks ended September 24, 2011, primarily due to an increase in core brand shipment volume and pricing gains.

Volume. Total shipment volume increased by $11.0 \%$ to $2,013,000$ barrels for the thirty-nine weeks ended September 29, 2012, as compared to $1,814,000$ barrels for the thirty-nine weeks ended September 24, 2011, due to core shipment volume gains. Shipment volume for the core brands increased by $11.0 \%$, or 199,000 barrels, due to increases in shipments of Angry Orchard, Samuel Adams Seasonals and Twisted Tea offset by declines in some other Samuel Adams styles.

Net Revenue per barrel. The net revenue per barrel for core brands increased by approximately $3.9 \%$ to $\$ 213.39$ per barrel for the thirty-nine weeks ended September 29, 2012 as compared to the prior year, due primarily to price increases and lower excise taxes per barrel compared to the prior year.

Gross profit. Gross profit for core products was $\$ 117.73$ per barrel for the thirty-nine weeks ended September 29, 2012, as compared to $\$ 113.38$ for the thirty-nine weeks ended September 24, 2011. Gross margin for core products was $55.2 \%$ for the first thirty-nine weeks of 2012 and for the comparable period in 2011. The increase in gross profit per barrel is primarily due to an increase in the net revenue per core barrel partially offset by an increase in cost of goods sold per core products.

Cost of goods sold for core products increased to $\$ 95.65$ per barrel for the thirty-nine weeks ended September 29, 2012, as compared to $\$ 92.07$ per barrel for the same period last year. The increase in cost of goods sold of $\$ 3.58$ per barrel is due to increases in barley, hops and other ingredients, partially offset by lower operating costs per barrel due to increased volume and efficiencies.
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Advertising, promotional and selling. Advertising, promotional and selling expenses increased by $\$ 14.8$ million, or $12.9 \%$, to $\$ 130.2$ million for the thirtynine weeks ended September 29, 2012, as compared to $\$ 115.4$ million for the thirty-nine weeks ended September 24, 2011. The increase is primarily a result of increased investments in local marketing, advertising and point of sale, costs for additional sales personnel, as well as increased costs of freight to wholesalers. Advertising, promotional and selling expenses for core brands were $30.5 \%$ of net revenue, or $\$ 65.17$ per barrel, for the thirty-nine weeks ended September 29,2012 , as compared to $31.2 \%$ of net revenue, or $\$ 64.02$ per barrel, for the comparable period in 2011.

General and administrative. General and administrative expenses increased by $15.6 \%$, or $\$ 4.9$ million, to $\$ 36.6$ million for the thirty-nine weeks ended September 29, 2012 as compared to the comparable period in 2011. The increase is largely driven by increases in salary and benefit costs and Alchemy \& Science startup costs.

Settlement proceeds. As noted in Footnote H - Product Recall above, the Company received proceeds of $\$ 20.5$ million during the second quarter of 2011, pursuant to an agreement to settle all claims regarding the 2008 product recall.

Provision for income taxes. The Company's effective tax rate for the thirty-nine weeks ended September 29, 2012 of $37.9 \%$ was comparable to the thirtynine weeks ended September 24, 2011 rate of approximately $38.0 \%$.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Cash increased to $\$ 62.8$ million as of September 29, 2012 from $\$ 49.5$ million as of December 31, 2011, primarily due to cash provided by operating activities, partially offset by cash provided by investing activities.

Cash provided by or used in operating activities consists of net income, adjusted for certain non-cash items, such as depreciation and amortization, stockbased compensation expense and related excess tax benefit, other non-cash items included in operating results, and changes in operating assets and liabilities, such as accounts receivable, inventory, accounts payable and accrued expenses.

Cash provided by operating activities for the thirty-nine weeks ended September 29,2012 was $\$ 64.8$ million and primarily consisted of net income of $\$ 42.6$ million, non-cash items of $\$ 13.0$ million, and a net decrease in operating assets and liabilities of $\$ 9.2$ million. Cash provided by operating activities for the thirty-nine weeks ended September 24, 2011 was $\$ 57.9$ million and primarily consisted of net income of $\$ 48.3$ million, which includes the $\$ 20.5$ million cash payment noted in Footnote $H$ - Product Recall above, and non-cash items of $\$ 15.8$ million, partially offset by a net increase in operating assets and liabilities of $\$ 6.2$ million.

The Company used $\$ 51.7$ million in investing activities during the thirty-nine weeks ended September 29, 2012, as compared to $\$ 12.3$ million during the thirty-nine weeks ended September 24, 2011. Investing activities primarily consisted of equipment purchases to upgrade the Company-owned breweries.

Cash provided by financing activities was $\$ 0.3$ million during the thirty-nine weeks ended September 29, 2012, as compared to $\$ 46.5$ million used during the thirty-nine weeks ended September 24, 2011. The $\$ 46.8$ million difference in financing cash flow in 2012 from 2011 is primarily due to fewer stock repurchases under the Company's Stock Repurchase Program and increased proceeds from exercise of stock options and related excess tax benefits of stock compensation.

During the thirty-nine weeks ended September 29, 2012, the Company repurchased approximately 120,000 shares of its Class A Common Stock for an aggregate purchase price of $\$ 12.6$ million. On October 1, 2012, the Board of Directors approved an increase of $\$ 25.0$ million to the previously approved $\$ 275.0$ million share buyback expenditure limit, for a new limit of $\$ 300.0$ million. As of September 29, 2012, the Company had repurchased a cumulative total of approximately 10.7 million shares of its Class A Common Stock for an aggregate purchase price of $\$ 264.5$ million. From September 30, 2012 through October 26, 2012, the Company repurchased approximately an additional 25,000 shares of its Class A Common Stock for a total cost of $\$ 2.7$ million. Through October 26, 2012, the Company has repurchased a cumulative total of approximately 10.7 million shares of its Class A Common Stock for an aggregate purchase price of $\$ 267.1$ million, and had approximately $\$ 32.9$ million remaining on the $\$ 300.0$ million stock repurchase expenditure limit set by the Board of Directors.
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The Company expects that its cash balance as of September 29, 2012 of $\$ 62.8$ million, along with future operating cash flow and the Company's unused line of credit of $\$ 50$ million, will be sufficient to fund future cash requirements. The Company's $\$ 50.0$ million credit facility has a term not scheduled to expire until March 31, 2015. The Company was not in violation of any of its covenants to the lender under the credit facility and there were no amounts outstanding under the credit facility as of the date of this filing.

## 2012 and 2013 Outlook

The Company's projected full year 2012 earnings per diluted share are estimated to be between $\$ 3.80$ and $\$ 4.20$. The Company's actual 2012 earnings per diluted share could vary significantly from the current projection. The Company expects depletions growth of $8 \%$ to $12 \%$ for the fifty-two week period ending December 29, 2012 compared against the fifty-two week period ending December 31, 2011. The Company expects shipment growth of $7 \%$ to $10 \%$ for the fifty-two week period ending December 29, 2012 compared against the fifty-three week fiscal period ending December 31, 2011. The Company is targeting price increases per barrel of approximately $3 \%$. The Company estimates aggregate inventory reduction at wholesalers participating in the Freshest Beer Program of between 100 thousand and 300 thousand case equivalents at year end compared to same time last year. Full-year 2012 gross margins are currently expected to be between $54 \%$ and $56 \%$. The Company now estimates the full year 2012 increase in advertising, promotional and selling expense, not including any increase in freight costs for the shipment of products to the Company's wholesalers, to be between $\$ 14$ million and $\$ 18$ million from the previously communicated estimate of $\$ 11$ million to $\$ 15$ million. Approximately $\$ 10.5$ million of this increase has been incurred in the nine months ending September 29, 2012. The Company estimates startup costs of $\$ 3$ million to $\$ 5$ million for new brands developed by Alchemy \& Science of which $\$ 2$ million to $\$ 3$ million are included in our full-year estimated increases in advertising, promotional and selling expenses. The Company believes that its 2012 effective tax rate will be approximately $38 \%$.

Based on current information, the Company has narrowed its estimated 2012 capital expenditure range to $\$ 65$ million to $\$ 75$ million from the previously communicated estimate of $\$ 55$ million to $\$ 75$ million, most of which relates to continued investments in the Company's breweries to support growth, complexity, capability and the Freshest Beer program, and additional keg purchases in support of growth

Looking forward to 2013, based on information of which the Company is currently aware, the Company is forecasting depletion and shipment growth in the high-single digits. The Company is targeting price increases per barrel of between $1 \%$ and $2 \%$ to help offset anticipated barley, hops, other ingredients, packaging freight and processing cost pressures. Full-year 2013 gross margins are currently expected to be between $53 \%$ and $55 \%$ due to anticipated price increases not fully covering anticipated cost increases and some product mix changes. The Company intends to increase advertising, promotional and selling expenses by between $\$ 6$ million and $\$ 12$ million for the full year 2013, which does not include any increases in freight costs for the shipment of products to its wholesalers. The Company estimates increased investments of between $\$ 2$ million to $\$ 3$ million for continued investment in existing brands developed by Alchemy \& Science, which are included in our full year estimated increases in advertising, promotional and selling expenses. Additional projects yet to be developed or acquired may significantly increase investments in Alchemy \& Science and advertising, promotional and selling expenses. The Company intends to increase its investment in its brands in 2013 commensurate with the opportunities for growth that it sees, but there is no guarantee such increased investments will result in increased volumes. The Company estimates a full-year 2013 effective tax rate of approximately $38 \%$.

The Company is currently evaluating 2013 capital expenditures and, based on current information, its initial estimates are between $\$ 55$ million and $\$ 65$ million, most of which relate to continued investments in its breweries, as well additional keg purchases. Beyond 2013, the Company anticipates an annual capital investment level of between $\$ 30$ million and $\$ 45$ million, including capacity expansion initiatives to accommodate expected growth. Based on information currently available, the Company believes that its capacity requirements for 2013 can be covered by the breweries it owns and existing contracted capacity at third-party brewers. The Company will provide further 2013 guidance when it presents its full-year 2012 results.

## THE POTENTIAL IMPACT OF KNOWN FACTS, COMMITMENTS, EVENTS AND UNCERTAINTIES

## Off-balance Sheet Arrangements

At September 29, 2012, the Company did not have off-balance sheet arrangements as defined in 03(a)(4)(ii) of Regulation S-K.

## Contractual Obligations

There were no material changes outside of the ordinary course of the Company's business to contractual obligations during the nine month period ended September 29, 2012.
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## Critical Accounting Policies

There were no material changes to the Company's critical accounting policies during the nine month period ended September $29,2012$.

## FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

In this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and in other documents incorporated herein, as well as in oral statements made by the Company, statements that are prefaced with the words "may," "will," "expect," "anticipate," "continue," "estimate," "project," "intend," "designed" and similar expressions, are intended to identify forward-looking statements regarding events, conditions, and financial trends that may affect the Company's future plans of operations, business strategy, results of operations and financial position. These statements are based on the Company's current expectations and estimates as to prospective events and circumstances about which the Company can give no firm assurance. Further, any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which such statement is made, and the Company undertakes no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect subsequent events or circumstances. Forward-looking statements should not be relied upon as a prediction of actual future financial condition or results. These forward-looking statements, like any forward-looking statements, involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected or anticipated. Such risks and uncertainties include the factors set forth below in addition to the other information set forth in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and in the section titled "Other Risks and Uncertainties" in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011

## Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Since December 31, 2011, there have been no significant changes in the Company's exposures to interest rate or foreign currency rate fluctuations. The Company currently does not enter into derivatives or other market risk sensitive instruments for the purpose of hedging or for trading purposes.

## Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of September 29, 2012, the Company conducted an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer (its principal executive officer and principal financial officer, respectively) regarding the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"). Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the requisite time periods and that such disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to its management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There was no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended September 29,2012 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

There were no material changes to the disclosure made in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 regarding these matters.

## Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, careful consideration should be given to the factors discussed in Part I, "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, which could materially affect the Company's business, financial condition or future results. The risks described in the Company's Annual

Report on Form 10-K are not the only risks facing the Company. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to the Company or that it currently deems to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect its business, financial condition and/or operating results.
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## Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

As of October 26, 2012, the Company has repurchased a cumulative total of approximately 10.7 million shares of its Class A Common Stock for an aggregate purchase price of $\$ 267.1$ million and had $\$ 32.9$ million remaining on the $\$ 300$ million share buyback expenditure limit.
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During the thirty-nine weeks ended September 29, 2012, the Company repurchased 122,073 shares of its Class A Common Stock as illustrated in the table below:

| Period | Total <br> Number of <br> Shares <br> Purchased | Average <br> Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January 1, 2012 to February 4, 2012 | 25,557 | \$ 96.73 | 24,346 | \$ | 20,673,592 |
| February 5, 2012 to March 3, 2012 | 240 | 31.72 | - |  | 20,673,592 |
| March 4, 2012 to March 31, 2012 | 13,053 | 99.63 | 13,053 |  | 19,373,145 |
| April 1, 2012 to May 5, 2012 | 6,143 | 98.25 | 6,063 |  | 18,772,967 |
| May 6, 2012 to June 2, 2012 | 4,734 | 95.72 | 4,000 |  | 18,352,757 |
| June 3, 2012 to June 30, 2012 | 26,207 | 107.99 | 26,207 |  | 15,522,629 |
| July 1, 2012 to August 4, 2012 | 16 | 65.14 | - |  | 15,522,629 |
| August 5, 2012 to September 1, 2012 | - | - | - |  | 15,522,629 |
| September 2, 2012 to September 29, 2012 | 46,123 | 108.16 | 46,123 |  | 10,534,090 |
| Total | 122,073 | \$ 103.68 | 119,792 | \$ | 10,534,090 |

During the thirty-nine weeks ended September 29, 2012, the Company repurchased 2,281 shares of unvested investment shares issued under the Investment Share Program of the Company's Employee Equity Incentive Plan.

As of October 26, 2012, the Company had 8.8 million shares of Class A Common Stock outstanding and 4.1 million shares of Class B Common Stock outstanding.

## Item 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

Not Applicable

## Item 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not Applicable

## Item 5. OTHER INFORMATION

Not Applicable

## Item 6. EXHIBITS

| Exhibit No. | Title |
| :---: | :---: |
| 11.1 | The information required by Exhibit 11 has been included in Note D of the notes to the consolidated financial statements. |
| *31.1 | Certification of the President and Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| *31.2 | Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| *32.1 | Certification of the President and Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
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*32.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
**101.INS XBRL Instance Document
**101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
**101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document
**101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document
**101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document
**101.DEF XBRL Definition Linkbase Document

* Filed with this report
** Attached as Exhibit 101 to this report are the following financial statements from the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 29, 2012 formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (iv) related notes to these financial statements tagged as blocks of text. The XBRL-related information in Exhibit 101 to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q shall not be deemed "filed" or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended ("Securities Act") and is not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended ("Exchange Act"), or otherwise subject to the liabilities of those sections.
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## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this Form 10-Q to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: November 1, 2012

Date: November 1, 2012

THE BOSTON BEER COMPANY, INC.
(Registrant)
/s/ Martin F. Roper
Martin F. Roper
President and Chief Executive Officer
(principal executive officer)
/s/ William F. Urich
William F. Urich
Chief Financial Officer
(principal accounting and financial officer)

I, Martin F. Roper, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of The Boston Beer Company, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 1, 2012

Martin F. Roper
President and Chief Executive Officer
[Principal Executive Officer]

I, William F. Urich, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of The Boston Beer Company, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 1, 2012

William F. Urich
Chief Financial Officer
[Principal Financial Officer]

The Boston Beer Company, Inc.
Certification Pursuant To
18 U.S.C. Section 1350,
As Adopted Pursuant To
Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
In connection with the Quarterly Report of The Boston Beer Company, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 29, 2012 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Report"), I, Martin F. Roper, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18, United States Code, that this Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that the information contained in this Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: November 1, 2012

| /s/ Martin F. Roper |
| :--- |
| Martin F. Roper |
| President and Chief Executive Officer |

Martin F. Roper
President and Chief Executive Officer
A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906, or other document authenticating, acknowledging, or otherwise adopting the signature that appears in typed form within the electronic version of this written statement required by Section 906, has been provided to The Boston Beer Company, Inc. and will be retained by The Boston Beer Company, Inc. and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

The Boston Beer Company, Inc.
Certification Pursuant To
18 U.S.C. Section 1350,
As Adopted Pursuant To
Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
In connection with the Quarterly Report of The Boston Beer Company, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 29, 2012 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Report"), I, William F. Urich, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18, United States Code, that this Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that the information contained in this Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: November 1, 2012
/s/ William F. Urich
William F. Urich
Chief Financial Officer
A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906, or other document authenticating, acknowledging, or otherwise adopting the signature that appears in typed form within the electronic version of this written statement required by Section 906, has been provided to The Boston Beer Company, Inc. and will be retained by The Boston Beer Company, Inc. and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

